

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates in Canada

April 27, 2026

Prepared by:

Sean Tucker, PhD
Anya Keefe, MSc



UNIVERSITY OF
REGINA

Acknowledgements

Thank you to J.D. Bell (University of Regina), Bob Barnetson (Athabasca University), Arth Chhatrala (Association of Workers' Compensation Boards of Canada), Bruce Cielen (Workers' Compensation Board of Manitoba), Jilal Jemal (Association of Workers' Compensation Boards of Canada), Gabrielle Klass (Saskatchewan Workers' Compensation Board), Noah Li (University of Regina), Esther Mass (University of British Columbia), Abby Patterson (University of Regina), Eagleclaw Thom (Regina), Myra Tucker (Parkland Secondary School), Barry Warrack (Workers' Compensation Board of Manitoba), and Jessica Wood (Queen's University) for their assistance with this research. Thanks to Janelle Gerard, Lucas Mack, and Shandi Van De Sype (University of Regina) for helping to prepare past reports. Special thanks to Jessica Antonini for preparing the report's dedication page each year.

Correspondence concerning this report can be sent to Sean Tucker, Hill Levene School of Business, University of Regina, Regina, SK, Canada, S4S 0A2. Email: sean.tucker@uregina.ca.

Executive Summary

Canadian workers' compensation boards reported that 1,042 workers died due to work-related causes in 2024. Job-related fatalities are classified as *injury* (e.g., death due to job-related electrocution) or *occupational disease* related (e.g., death from mesothelioma due to work-related exposure to asbestos). A comparison of fatality rates is important for identifying trends over time both within and between provinces and territories.

This report provides a jurisdictional comparison of work-related injury and fatality rates in Canada between 2020 and 2024 using data from the Association of Workers' Compensation Boards of Canada (AWCBC).

Injury-Related Fatality Rate

Northwest Territories and Nunavut had the highest 5-year average injury fatality rate (9.1 deaths per 100,000). Among provinces with over 100,000 workers, Saskatchewan's 5-year average injury fatality rate ranks highest (4.1 per 100,000) followed by Alberta (3.8 per 100,000).

Seven of 11 jurisdictions experienced an increase in their 2024 injury fatality rate compared to their 2021-2023 average rate. Among jurisdictions with over 100,000 full-time equivalent employees, Newfoundland and Labrador (161%), Manitoba (59%) and New Brunswick (57%) showed the greatest percentage increase in their 2024 injury fatality rate.

Occupational Disease-Related Fatality Rate

Among provinces with over 100,000 workers, Newfoundland and Labrador had the highest 5-year average occupational disease fatality rate (7.9 deaths per 100,000), followed by Alberta (5.1 deaths per 100,000) and Ontario (4.7 deaths per 100,000).

In 2024, occupational disease fatality rates increased in four of 11 jurisdictions compared to the average fatality rate between 2021 and 2023. A comparison of the 2021-2023 average rates to the 2024 rates showed that among provinces with over 100,000 workers, Nova Scotia (27%) and Quebec (14%) had the largest increase.

Lost-Time Injury Rate

Among provinces with over 100,000 workers, Manitoba continues to have the highest 5-year lost-time injury rate (2.6 per 100), followed by British Columbia (2.2 per 100), and Quebec (2.2 per 100).

In 2024, every jurisdiction reported lower lost-time injury rates compared to the average rate from the three previous years. Among provinces with 100,000 employees, Quebec (-24%), Alberta (-22%) and Newfoundland and Labrador (-14%) showed the greatest decrease in lost-time injury rate.

Important Data Limitations

Several factors affect the accuracy, reliability, and jurisdictional comparability of fatality and injury rates within Canada. Readers should consider industry mix, jurisdictional size, injury and disease under-reporting, and differences in legislation among jurisdictions when interpreting and comparing fatality and injury rates.

Recommendations to Address Data Limitations

The following recommendations would strengthen the accuracy and comparability of the data for future analyses, as well as foster improved awareness and prevention of work-related injuries, diseases, and fatalities in Canada.

1. *Release previous year's injury and fatality statistics by March 31*
2. *Harmonize data collection and reporting within and across jurisdictions*
3. *Explore creative solutions to address the problem of under-reporting*
4. *Enhance primary prevention activities*

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements 2

Executive Summary 3

Dedication 7

1.0 Introduction..... 8

2.0 Methodology..... 9

 2.1 Important Data Limitations 10

3.0 Work-Related Fatality and Injury Rates by Jurisdiction, 2020-2024 16

 3.1 Provincial and Territorial Work-Related Injury Fatality Rates 17

 3.2 Provincial and Territorial Work-Related Occupational Disease Fatality Rates 20

 3.3 Provincial and Territorial Work-Related Lost-Time Injury Rates 23

4.0 Provincial Work-Related Fatality and Injury Rate Graphs, 2010-2024..... 26

 4.1 Provincial Work-Related Fatality Rate Graphs 27

 4.2 Provincial Work-Related Injury Rate Graphs 32

5.0 Recommendations..... 37

6.0 References 41

Appendix: AWCBC Explanatory Notes (2010-2024) 46

List of Tables

Table 1: Scope of Coverage, by Jurisdiction (AWCBC, 2026) 13

Table 2: Number of Work-Related Fatalities and Lost-Time Injuries in 2024..... 16

Table 3: Five-year Average Injury Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2020-2024 17

Table 4: Percentage Change in Injury Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2024 vs. 2021-2023 18

Table 5: Percentage Change in Injury Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2018-2020 vs. 2021-2024 .. 19

Table 6: Average Occupational Disease Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2020-2024 20

Table 7: Percentage Change in Occupational Disease Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2024 vs. 2021-2023 Average Rate 21

Table 8: Percentage Change in Occupational Disease Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2019-2021 Average Rate vs. 2022-2024 Average Rate 22

Table 9: Average Lost Time Injury Rate (per 100), 2021-2024 23

Table 10: Percentage Change in Lost Time Injury Rate (per 100), 2024 vs. 2021-2023 24

Table 11: Change in Lost Time Injury Rate (per 100), 2019-2021 Average Rate vs. 2022-2024 Average Rate 25

List of Figures

Figure 1: The AWCBC and Provincial and Territorial WCBs	9
Figure 2: Alberta Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024	27
Figure 3: British Columbia Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024	27
Figure 4: Manitoba Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024.....	28
Figure 5: New Brunswick Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024	28
Figure 6: Newfoundland and Labrador Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024	29
Figure 7: Nova Scotia Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024	29
Figure 8: Ontario Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024	30
Figure 9: Quebec Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024.....	30
Figure 10: Saskatchewan Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024	31
Figure 11: Alberta Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024	32
Figure 12: British Columbia Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024.....	32
Figure 13: Manitoba Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024	33
Figure 14: New Brunswick Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024	33
Figure 15: Newfoundland and Labrador Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024	34
Figure 16: Nova Scotia Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024.....	34
Figure 17: Ontario Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024	35
Figure 18: Quebec Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024	35
Figure 19: Saskatchewan Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024.....	36

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

Dedication

To those who died because of their work




Carl Lamoureux, age 38, son and uncle, killed at work, July 2025. Photo from CBC News.



Alfred Okyere, age 23, son, father, and student, murdered on the fifth day of his new job January 2025. Photo from CBC News.



José Manuel Castañeda Hernández, age 43, husband and father, kidnapped and murdered from Canadian company jobsite in Mexico January 2025. Photo from CBC News



Jose Castillo, age 66, husband and father, died December 2025. Photo from Global News.



Nabil El-Ahmed, age 55, husband and father, died on the job April 2025. Photo from CBC Hamilton.



Derrick Cullum, age 27, brother and new husband, died from work related injury December 2025. Photo from CTV News Calgary.



Kulbir Kaila, age 61, aunt and family member, died on the job July 2025. Photo from CBC British Columbia.



Robert James Nickerson, age 43, brother and uncle, died on the job August 2025. Photo from CBC British Columbia.




Ron Hollingsworth, age 63, husband and father, died from work related incident July 2025. Photo from Chek News.



Sarah Monaghan, age 38, mother and partner, died on the job December 2025. Photo from OrilliaMatters.com



Gregory Applin, age 38, husband and father, died while working, January 2025. Photo from CBC Nova Scotia



Tyrone Mcleod, age 41, father and husband, died from work related injuries, December 2025. Photo from CBC Saskatchewan.

1.0 Introduction

Canadian workers' compensation boards reported that 1,042 workers died due to work-related causes in 2024.

This report uses publicly available data from the Association of Workers' Compensation Boards of Canada (AWCBC) to estimate the injury and occupational disease-related fatality rates across Canadian provinces and territories over the past five years (i.e., between 2020 and 2024, inclusive). For comparison purposes, provincial and territorial work-related lost-time injury rates from 2020 to 2024 are also provided. Previous reports, published since 2017, are available online at Research Gate.¹

A comparison of fatality rates is important for identifying trends over time within and between jurisdictions. Such comparisons not only shed light on where the greatest need is for targeted injury prevention but also help to identify potential changes to educational, regulatory and enforcement regimes. Furthermore, differentiating between the rates of *occupational injury* and *occupational disease* fatalities provides insight into the types of policy changes that may be required.

This report is organized into five sections.

- Section 1 introduces the report.
- [Section 2](#) describes the methodology and highlights important limitations associated with AWCBC data.
- [Section 3](#) compares fatality and injury rates across provinces and territories between 2020 and 2024.
- [Section 4](#) graphically illustrates provincial fatality and injury rates between 2010 and 2024.
- [Section 5](#) presents recommendations to address some of the data limitations identified in the report, to strengthen the accuracy and comparability of the data for future analyses, and to foster improved prevention of work-related injuries, diseases, and fatalities in Canada.

¹ Previous reports are available at <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sean-Tucker-2>

2.0 Methodology

The AWCBC (2020) defines a workplace fatality as “a death resulting from a work-related incident (including disease) that has been accepted for compensation by a Board/Commission.” Such fatalities are classified as being related to *injury* (for example, a death due to job-related electrocution) or *occupational disease* (for example, death from mesothelioma due to work-related exposure to asbestos).²

Each year provincial and territorial workers’ compensation boards and commissions submit injury, fatality, and other data to the AWCBC (Figure 1). Not surprisingly, data available through the AWCBC’s website show that provinces with a relatively large labour force also report a higher number of work-related fatalities than smaller jurisdictions. While the AWCBC and research studies (e.g., Fan, McLeod, Koehoorn, 2012; Morassaei et al., 2013) focus on workplace injury *rates* (and thus take into account jurisdictional differences in labour force size), the AWCBC and other publications currently do not report provincial and territorial work-related fatality rates.

Figure 1: The AWCBC and Provincial and Territorial WCBs



² In 2010, the AWCBC began differentiating between the number of injury and occupational disease-related fatalities in its publicly posted data.

In this report, work-related injury and occupational disease fatality rates were calculated by dividing the total number of fatalities in each jurisdiction by the estimated number of full-time equivalent (FTE) workers in the jurisdiction, and then multiplying the result by 100,000 to arrive at a fatality rate per 100,000 workers.³

Related data for 2024 were downloaded from the AWCBC website in March 2026 and are available upon request.

There are three important caveats about the fatality and injury rates summarized in this report.

1. Compensation boards report aggregate injury and fatality data by calendar year. However, there is a significant time-lag between the end of administrative data collection, reporting of injury and fatality statistics in provincial and territorial WCB annual reports, and the posting of comparable aggregate data to the AWCBC's website.
2. AWCBC data are based on when a claim was accepted by a workers compensation authority, not when the incident occurred. Deaths of Canadian workers employed abroad are generally not included in WCB statistics (Rabson, 2024).
3. Work-related injury and fatality claims data, like other health-related data, have limitations related to accuracy and comparability that need be taken into account.

2.1 Important Data Limitations

Researchers and journalists have identified several factors that affect the accuracy, reliability, and jurisdictional comparability of occupational fatality and injury rates in Canada (e.g., Bittle et al., 2018; Barnetson, Foster & Matsunaga-Turnbull, 2018; Sharpe & Hardt, 2006; Thompson, 2007). Grant's (2017a-c) reporting provides an overview of many of these factors and related solutions.

Taking into account under-reporting and other factors (e.g., work-related commuting related fatalities, stress-related suicides), Bittle and colleagues (2018) estimated that the annual total number of work-related fatalities in Canada is likely *ten times higher* than reported by the AWCBC.

³ The number of FTEs reflects the estimated total number of employees covered by a compensation board (based on employer payroll estimates) as opposed to the total number of people employed in a jurisdiction. Given that the AWCBC uses the total estimated number of FTEs for calculating lost-time injury rates, this same approach was used for calculating fatality rates in this report. An alternative approach, used by Sharpe and Hardte (2006), uses Statistics Canada Labour Force Survey estimates of the total number of employed workers (instead of the estimated total number of FTE).

Readers should consider the factors discussed below when interpreting provincial fatality and injury rates.⁴ Later in this report, we provide suggestions for addressing limitations associated with injury and fatality data (see [section 5.0](#)).

1. *Injury and fatality under-reporting*. For a variety of reasons (e.g., injury severity, claim suppression, use of alternative insurance policies, lack of awareness amongst workers and primary care physicians that workplace exposures can cause occupational diseases, complexity of the claims process, etc. see Kyung et al., 2023), workers and employers may not report eligible work-related injuries or diseases to a compensation board. For example, recent studies have found a relationship between injury under-reporting and precarious employment (Billias et al., 2023), increased health and safety vulnerability and lack of awareness (Nadalin & Smith, 2022), language barriers (Premji et al., 2023), Indigenous identity (Lightfoot et al., 2022), out-of-province workers (Macpherson et al., 2021; Cherry et al, 2019).

Estimates of work-related injury under-reporting (sometimes referred to as “under claiming”) in Canada vary. For example, Shannon and Lowe’s (2002) study found that 40% of eligible claims were not reported to a compensation board or commission. A 2013 study of injury under-reporting in Manitoba concluded: “There appears to be significant under-claiming of WCB benefits in Manitoba. Survey evidence suggests that around 30.1% of workers who experienced a work-related injury that involved more than 5 days of lost working time may not have claimed WCB lost earnings benefits” (Prism Economics and Analysis, 2013, p. 2).

A 2020 study of workers in British Columbia found that 53.7% of workers who had experienced a work-related injury that resulted in two or more days off work did not report their injury to WorkSafeBC (Saunders, O’Grady, & Cardoso, 2020). Under-claiming was more common among immigrant workers, non-unionized workers, temporary workers, workers with lower educational attainment, and those employed by small employers. The researchers also noted that employer pressure not to claim ranged between 4-13% among unreported injuries. Premji et al. (2025) noted “Between 2019 and 2024, only 73 claim suppression penalties were assessed against 33 employers [in Ontario]. Court convictions are also rare, with only 6 convictions for claim suppression for the same period” (p. 2).

There is also evidence that under-reporting extends to compensation board work-related fatality data. Koehoorn et al.’s (2015) comparison of British Columbia workers’ compensation data and external data sources (coroner, hospital, and vital statistics data) estimated that 7% to 24% of work-related fatalities (*depending* upon the data source), between 1991 and 2009, were not captured by the workers compensation system in BC. The authors noted that they could not determine what proportion of

⁴ With respect to data limitations, the AWCBC provides this general cautionary note: “Differences in population, industry mixes, coverage and legislation/policy may affect comparability between jurisdictions. These measures use standard definitions that may differ from WCB reports. Please contact the WCB directly with any inquiries about an individual jurisdiction. Additional measures and explanatory footnotes for the above measures can be found in the Detailed Key Statistical Measures Report.”

unreported cases involved deceased workers not covered by compensation board insurance (see point two below).

CAREX⁵ Canada has estimated the prevalence of occupational exposure to a wide range of known and suspected carcinogens in Canada. For instance, it estimates that 235,000 Canadian workers are currently exposed to asbestos (CAREX, 2021). However, fatalities due to occupational disease, such as mesothelioma, are not always diagnosed and recorded as such (i.e., as being caused by occupational exposure) by attending doctors, and thus go unreported in WCB and AWCBC data.

The Occupational Cancer Research Centre (OCRC) estimates that there are nearly five times as many lung cancers caused by asbestos as mesotheliomas and that “both are severely under-reported and under-compensated” (Demers, 2020; OCRC, 2019). Their analyses show that only 61% of mesothelioma and 5% of asbestos-related lung cancers in Canada were compensated (Demers, 2020). Investigative reporting by Mojtehedzadeh (2016, 2017) revealed that Ontario’s Workplace Safety Insurance Board (WSIB) reviewed (and overturned) a significant number of previously denied occupational disease claims linked to occupational exposure to several carcinogens at the former General Electric factory in Peterborough, Ontario (Luckhardt & Viscardis, 2019).

2. *Jurisdictional differences in the proportion of workers insured.* In Canada, responsibility for workplace health and safety is laid out in labour legislation, which primarily falls under provincial and territorial authority. Generally, this legislation includes an *OHS Act*, *OHS Regulations*⁶ and *Workers’ Compensation Act*⁷, along with their related regulations (CCOHS, 2025). Each province, territory and the federal government has its own labour legislation.

At the federal level, the legislation applies to workers in the federal government, federal corporations, and federally-regulated industries (e.g., inter-provincial and international transportation, shipping, telephone and cable systems). Under the *Government Employees Compensation Act* (“*GECA*”), federal injured workers are entitled to receive compensation benefits at the same rates and under the same conditions as provincially-regulated workers working in the same jurisdiction. The Labour Program’s Federal Workers’ Compensation Service is responsible for administering *GECA*; however, claims filed by workers covered under *GECA*⁸ are adjudicated and managed by the provincial and territorial workers’ compensation boards through negotiated Service Agreements. Pursuant to these agreements, the

⁵ CAREX is the acronym for CARcinogen EXposure.

⁶ OHS legislation generally sets out the rights and duties of all workplace parties, as well as how workers are to be protected from health and safety hazards (i.e., prescriptive or performance-based procedures; education and training programs; inspection and monitoring requirements; and how laws and regulations are enforced in the absence of voluntary compliance).

⁷ Workers’ compensation legislation delegates authority for the delivery of workers compensation programs and sets out responsibilities in the spheres of prevention, rehabilitation, and compensation.

⁸ *GECA* applies to all employees of the federal government and most federal crown corporations and agencies. It does not apply to members of the Canadian Armed Forces and regular members of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP).

workers' compensation boards are granted authority to apply the relevant *Workers Compensation Act* and related policy in order to administer the *GECA* in their jurisdiction. The federal government reimburses the boards for all compensation costs awarded and paid to injured employees and pays administrative fees in accordance with the agreement.

Provincial or territorial legislation applies to approximately 90% of workplaces; however, there are some notable exceptions which create gaps in coverage that have implications for the comparability of data across jurisdictions. For example, most agricultural workers are not covered by compensation boards and, as a result, agricultural-related fatalities are reported separately (e.g., Caxaj et al., 2022; Shah et al., 2011). Each year in Saskatchewan approximately 10 individuals die while engaged in farming activities (Karunanayake et al., 2023).

More generally, as shown in Table 1, estimated WCB coverage rates in 2024 varied from a high of 98% in PEI to a low of 73% in Nova Scotia (AWCBC, 2025). Injuries and deaths that occur in workplaces not covered by the workers' compensation system are not counted and are therefore not reflected in AWCBC data. Moreover, lower coverage rates can skew fatality and injury rates when uncovered workers are employed in relatively more (or relatively less) dangerous industries.

Table 1: Scope of Coverage, by Jurisdiction (AWCBC, 2026)

	% of Workforce Covered (2024)
Alberta	83%
British Columbia	93%
Manitoba	78%
New Brunswick	92%
Newfoundland and Labrador	96%
Nova Scotia	73%
NWT/Nunavut	97%
Ontario	76%
Prince Edward Island	98%
Quebec	94%
Saskatchewan	77%
Yukon*	-

* data not available for 2024

3. *Increasing use of workplace accommodation practices.* Increasing knowledge and use of job accommodation practices among employers can reduce the number of lost-time injury claims submitted to a compensation board. An injury that likely led to one day off work in the past may result in no time lost in the same workplace today due to availability of modified duties to the injured worker on the day of their injury. In this way, a reduction in the number of lost-time injury claims may not reflect an equal reduction in the actual number of injuries.

4. *Jurisdictional differences in occupational injury, disease, and fatality definitions.* Provinces and territories define work-related lost-time injuries differently. For instance, some compensation boards count a lost-time injury when a worker misses their next scheduled shift due to their injury, whereas some other boards count lost-time injuries when an injured worker leaves their current shift (AWCBC, 2018).

In terms of fatalities, five compensation boards have “found dead” clauses in their legislation (i.e., Saskatchewan, Alberta, Nova Scotia (coal miners only), Northwest Territories and Nunavut). These boards are more likely to accept all fatalities that occur in a workplace even when there is uncertainty about the link between a workplace incident and the cause of death (e.g., a heart attack).

Further, some jurisdictions have “right to elect” clauses that allow workers who, for example, are injured in a vehicle collision while working to seek compensation from an auto insurer instead of a compensation board (see, for example, Manitoba). Similarly, spouses of deceased workers may elect to seek benefits from an auto insurer instead of a compensation board. Work-related fatalities and injuries that are compensated outside of a WCB system may not be counted in AWCBC statistics.⁹

There are also differences in how jurisdictions define, assess and count occupational disease claims. For instance, five jurisdictions in Canada (British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, Ontario, Quebec) have occupational disease “presumptions” that establish causation where there is sufficient evidence that the disease is specific to a particular exposure, process, or condition of employment (e.g., certain cancers and exposure to asbestos). Fourteen jurisdictions in Canada have presumptions that establish causation for a range of cancers and the occupation of firefighting, and 8 jurisdictions have presumptions for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) for certain occupations.¹⁰ However, across the jurisdictions with occupational disease presumptions, there is a wide variation in the number of occupational diseases recognized, as well as in the exposures/working conditions associated with them. This affects the types of cancers and other illnesses (e.g., PTSD) that are compensated across the country.

In some jurisdictions, workers who are exposed to asbestos and are diagnosed with an asbestos-related disease may be eligible to apply to an “asbestos trust” for compensation. When claims are accepted by a trust a worker gives up their right to WCB benefits.

⁹ In relatively rare cases, the family of a deceased worker, who is killed by faulty product or equipment, may decide not to accept WCB benefits and, instead, sue a product manufacturer. These fatalities may also not be included in WCB fatality counts.

¹⁰ These presumptions appear either in schedules to the governing statute or in supporting regulations. Their goal is to streamline the adjudicative process by avoiding the repeated effort of producing and analyzing medical and other evidence of work-relatedness for each individual case. In recent years, unions continued to advocate for greater presumptive coverage for certain occupational injuries (Mercer, 2023) and diseases (French, 2023; Frew, 2023).

Finally, some compensation boards do not report injury and illness statistics for self-insured employers, yet the AWCBC includes these data in their reporting.

5. *Missing and incomplete data.* Occasionally, a compensation board's data submission to the AWCBC may be incomplete or may not conform to AWCBC definitions. In these cases, the AWCBC provides explanatory notes for missing and non-comparable provincial and territorial data. A list of these exceptions for the years 2010 to 2024, for jurisdictions with such data limitations, is shown in the Appendix at the end of this report. The Yukon Territories' 2024 summary data was not available on the AWCBC's website and therefore is not represented in this year's report.
6. *Jurisdictional differences in current and past industry mix.* Differences in the types of industry operating in a jurisdiction can influence injury rates and the number of work-related fatalities (Berriault et al., 2017). Moreover, past industry mixes may influence the present rate of long latency occupational diseases, such as the impact of extracting, processing, and manufacturing asbestos between the 1950s and 1970s on current claims for asbestos-related mesothelioma (e.g., Bianco & Demers, 2013).
7. *Jurisdictional differences in labour force size.* While fatality rates take into account workforce size (based on coverage rates), small jurisdictions can experience dramatic changes in their rates due to relatively small changes in the number of fatality claims each year. For this reason, this report highlights work fatality rates in provinces with more than 100,000 workers.

3.0 Work-Related Fatality and Injury Rates by Jurisdiction, 2020-2024

In 2024, the AWCBC reported 381 injury and 661 occupational disease-related fatalities in Canada. Alberta had the highest number of injury related deaths (91) while Ontario had the greatest number of occupational disease-related deaths (242). In that same year, a total of 267,199 lost-time injury claims were accepted by provincial and territorial WCBs, with Quebec reporting the highest number of these claims (75,642).

Table 2: Number of Work-Related Fatalities and Lost-Time Injuries in 2024

	Number of Lost-Time Injuries	Number of Injury-Related Fatalities	Number of Occupational Disease-Related Fatalities
Alberta	28,286	91	112
British Columbia	54,993	68	78
Manitoba	14,035	11	11
New Brunswick	4,052	13	6
Newfoundland and Labrador	2,960	11	15
Nova Scotia	5,491	7	10
NWT/Nunavut	701	9	1
Ontario	71,781	78	242
Prince Edward Island	1,102	1	5
Quebec	75,642	74	172
Saskatchewan	8,156	18	9
Yukon*	-	-	-
Total	267,199	381	661

Note: The Yukon Territories' 2024 summary data is currently not available on the AWCBC's website.

3.1 Provincial and Territorial Work-Related Injury Fatality Rates

Table 3 shows the average injury-related fatality rate between 2020 and 2024 by jurisdiction. Considering provinces with over 100,000 workers, Saskatchewan ranks highest (4.1 deaths per 100,000), followed by Alberta (3.8 deaths per 100,000) and Newfoundland and Labrador (3.3 deaths per 100,000).

Table 3: Five-year Average Injury Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2020-2024

	Average 5-year Rate
Alberta	3.8
British Columbia	2.7
Manitoba	1.3
New Brunswick	2.7
Newfoundland and Labrador	3.3
Nova Scotia	2.7
NWT/Nunavut*	9.1
Ontario	1.5
Prince Edward Island*	0.7
Quebec	1.6
Saskatchewan	4.1
Yukon*	-

* Fewer than 100,000 FTEs

Table 4 compares the 2024 injury-related fatality rate to the average 2021 to 2023 rate for each jurisdiction.¹¹

Among jurisdictions with over 100,000 full-time equivalent employees, Newfoundland and Labrador (161%), Manitoba (59%) and New Brunswick (57%) showed the greatest percentage increase in their 2024 injury fatality rate. Nova Scotia (-41%) and Ontario (-22%) showed the greatest percentage decrease.

Table 4: Percentage Change in Injury Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2024 vs. 2021-2023

	Average 2021-2023 Rate	2024 Rate	Percentage Change
Alberta	3.5	4.3	23%
British Columbia	2.8	2.5	-11%
Manitoba	1.3	2.0	59%
New Brunswick	2.2	3.5	57%
Newfoundland and Labrador	1.8	4.7	161%
Nova Scotia	3.1	1.8	-41%
NWT/Nunavut*	8.1	18.9	132%
Ontario	1.6	1.2	-22%
Prince Edward Island*	0.8	1.1	40%
Quebec	1.6	1.7	5%
Saskatchewan	4.1	3.9	-6%
Yukon*	-	-	-

* Fewer than 100,000 FTEs

¹¹ The results shown in Tables 3-11 are based on an analysis of AWCBC data by the report's lead author.

Given the relatively small labour force size and relatively small number of fatalities in some jurisdictions, three-year moving average rates may provide a more accurate picture of general trends in injury-related fatality rates.

Table 5 compares the average injury fatality rate between 2019 and 2021 to the average rate between 2022 and 2024. Among provinces with over 100,000 workers, Manitoba (34%), Quebec (17%), and British Columbia (12%) had the greatest percentage injury fatality rate increase. Newfoundland and Labrador (-29%) and Nova Scotia (-15%) showed the greatest percentage decrease.

Table 5: Percentage Change in Injury Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2018-2020 vs. 2021-2024

	Average 2019-2021 Rate	Average 2022-2024 Rate	Percentage Change
Alberta	4.0	3.7	-7%
British Columbia	2.5	2.8	12%
Manitoba	1.1	1.4	34%
New Brunswick	3.1	2.8	-8%
Newfoundland and Labrador	4.1	2.9	-29%
Nova Scotia	2.8	2.4	-15%
NWT/Nunavut*	9.2	9.2	0%
Ontario	1.4	1.5	5%
Prince Edward Island*	0.0	1.1	100%
Quebec	1.5	1.7	17%
Saskatchewan	4.1	4.2	4%
Yukon*	-	-	-

* Fewer than 100,000 FTEs

3.2 Provincial and Territorial Work-Related Occupational Disease Fatality Rates

Table 6 shows the average 5-year occupational disease fatality rate between 2020 and 2024 by jurisdiction.

Overall, among provinces with more than 100,000 workers, Newfoundland and Labrador had the highest rate (7.9 deaths per 100,000), followed by Alberta (5.1 deaths per 100,000) and Ontario (4.7 deaths per 100,000).

Table 6: Average Occupational Disease Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2020-2024

	Average 5-year Rate
Alberta	5.1
British Columbia	3.6
Manitoba	2.3
New Brunswick	2.1
Newfoundland and Labrador	7.9
Nova Scotia	2.1
NWT/Nunavut*	1.3
Ontario	4.7
Prince Edward Island*	1.9
Quebec	3.5
Saskatchewan	3.3
Yukon*	-

* Fewer than 100,000 FTEs

Table 7 compares the 2024 occupational disease-related fatality rate to the average rate between 2020 and 2022. Among provinces with over 100,000 workers, Nova Scotia (27%) and Quebec (14%) showed the greatest percentage increase in their occupational disease fatality rate. In contrast, Saskatchewan (-46%), British Columbia (-26%) and New Brunswick (-20%) showed the greatest percentage decrease.

Table 7: Percentage Change in Occupational Disease Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2024 vs. 2021-2023 Average Rate

	Average 2021-2023 Rate	2024 Rate	Percentage Change
Alberta	5.2	5.3	3%
British Columbia	3.9	2.9	-26%
Manitoba	2.5	2.0	-18%
New Brunswick	2.0	1.6	-20%
Newfoundland and Labrador	7.5	6.4	-15%
Nova Scotia	2.1	2.6	27%
NWT/Nunavut*	1.5	2.1	39%
Ontario	4.9	3.9	-20%
Prince Edward Island*	0.4	5.5	1266%
Quebec	3.5	4.0	14%
Saskatchewan	3.6	1.9	-46%
Yukon*	-	-	-

* Fewer than 100,000 FTEs

Table 8 compares the percentage change in occupational disease-related fatality rates by jurisdiction. Again, given the small labour force size in some jurisdictions and relatively small number of fatalities in these jurisdictions, three-year averages rates were compared (i.e., 2019 to 2021 rate vs. 2022 to 2024 rate) to identify general trends over recent years.

Considering provinces with over 100,000 workers, Quebec (8%) showed the greatest percentage increase in occupational disease fatality rate. In contrast, Nova Scotia had the greatest percentage decrease (-36%) followed by Saskatchewan (-22%) and Ontario (-15%).

Table 8: Percentage Change in Occupational Disease Fatality Rate (per 100,000), 2019-2021 Average Rate vs. 2022-2024 Average Rate

	Average 2019-2021 Rate	Average 2022-2024 Rate	Percentage Change
Alberta	4.9	5.0	1%
British Columbia	3.7	3.5	-6%
Manitoba	2.5	2.4	-4%
New Brunswick	1.9	2.0	1%
Newfoundland and Labrador	8.3	7.3	-11%
Nova Scotia	3.1	2.0	-36%
NWT/Nunavut*	1.5	0.7	-54%
Ontario	4.9	4.2	-15%
Prince Edward Island*	0.9	2.2	151%
Quebec	3.3	3.6	8%
Saskatchewan	3.8	3.0	-22%
Yukon*	-	-	-

* Fewer than 100,000 FTEs

3.3 Provincial and Territorial Work-Related Lost-Time Injury Rates

Table 9 shows the average 5-year lost-time injury rate (per 100 full-time equivalent employees) between 2020 and 2024 by jurisdiction. Among provinces with over 100,000 workers, Manitoba had the highest rate (2.6 per 100), followed by British Columbia (2.2 per 100), and Quebec (2.2 per 100).

Table 9: Average Lost Time Injury Rate (per 100), 2020-2024

	Average 5-year Rate
Alberta	1.6
British Columbia	2.2
Manitoba	2.6
New Brunswick	1.1
Newfoundland and Labrador	1.4
Nova Scotia	1.5
NWT/Nunavut*	1.8
Ontario	1.2
Prince Edward Island*	1.3
Quebec	2.2
Saskatchewan	1.9
Yukon*	-

* Fewer than 100,000 FTEs

Table 10 compares the 2024 lost-time injury rate (per 100 full-time equivalent employees) to the average rate between 2021 and 2023.

Looking only at jurisdictions with over 100,000 employees, Quebec (-24%) and Alberta (-22%) showed the greatest percentage decrease in lost-time injury rate, followed by Newfoundland and Labrador (-14%).

Table 10: Percentage Change in Lost Time Injury Rate (per 100), 2024 vs. 2021-2023

	Average 2021-2023 Rate	2024 Rate	Percentage Change
Alberta	1.7	1.4	-22%
British Columbia	2.2	2.0	-10%
Manitoba	2.6	2.6	-1%
New Brunswick	1.1	1.1	-1%
Newfoundland and Labrador	1.5	1.3	-14%
Nova Scotia	1.6	1.4	-8%
NWT/Nunavut*	1.8	1.5	-20%
Ontario	1.3	1.2	-12%
Prince Edward Island*	1.3	1.2	-6%
Quebec	2.3	1.8	-24%
Saskatchewan	2.0	1.8	-11%
Yukon*	-	-	-

* Fewer than 100,000 FTEs

Table 11 compares the percentage change in lost-time injury rate (per 100 full-time equivalent employees) by jurisdiction. Three-year averages rates were compared (i.e., the average 2019 to 2021 injury rate was compared to the average 2022 to 2024 injury rate).

Among jurisdictions with over 100,000 workers, Quebec (10%) and Ontario (6%) showed the greatest percentage increase in lost-time injury rate. New Brunswick (-19%) and Newfoundland (-12%) showed the greatest percentage decrease.

Table 11: Change in Lost Time Injury Rate (per 100), 2019-2021 Average Rate vs. 2022-2024 Average Rate

	Average 2019-2021 Rate	Average 2022-2024 Rate	Percentage Change
Alberta	1.7	1.6	-5%
British Columbia	2.2	2.2	-2%
Manitoba	2.6	2.6	2%
New Brunswick	1.4	1.1	-19%
Newfoundland and Labrador	1.6	1.4	-12%
Nova Scotia	1.6	1.5	-8%
NWT/Nunavut*	2.0	1.7	-18%
Ontario	1.2	1.3	6%
Prince Edward Island*	1.3	1.2	-8%
Quebec	2.0	2.2	10%
Saskatchewan	2.0	1.9	-4%
Yukon*	-	-	-

* Fewer than 100,000 FTEs

4.0 Provincial Work-Related Fatality and Injury Rate Graphs, 2010-2024

The graphs in this section provide a visual representation of fatality and lost-time injury rates by jurisdiction between 2010 and 2024. Due to relatively high yearly variability in rates in smaller jurisdictions, graphs for NWT/Nunavut, PEI, and the Yukon are omitted.

What is noteworthy in these graphs is that in most jurisdictions, the occupational disease fatality rate has surpassed (or is close to surpassing) the injury fatality rate. This trend aligns with findings reported in 2014 by the Occupational Cancer Research Centre that the number of compensated claims for deaths from occupational cancer in Canada had dramatically increased since 1997 and that it had surpassed the number of traumatic injuries in approximately 2005 (Del Bianco and Demers, 2013). The exceptions are Saskatchewan and New Brunswick where, in some years, the injury fatality rate is higher than the occupational disease fatality rate.

In jurisdictions across Canada, the occupational disease fatality rate appears to be trending upwards over time. This is consistent with what has been previously reported in the literature (Del Bianco and Demers, 2013; Sharpe and Hardt, 2006) and what we expect to happen as more workers are diagnosed with long-latency diseases. However, as these graphs illustrate, the rates fluctuate from year to year. There could be several reasons for these fluctuations, including changes to policy that expand (or restrict) coverage, as well as heightened awareness and media attention within specific workplaces that result in more claims being filed and compensated as happened at the GE plant in Peterborough, Ontario (see Mojtehdzadeh, 2017).

For example, the elevated occupational disease fatality rate in Newfoundland and Labrador may reflect the impact of a policy change on occupational disease claims. In 2016, the province's *Workplace Health, Safety and Compensation Act* was amended to provide presumptive coverage for eleven cancers to career firefighters (retroactive to December 14, 2015) and to volunteer firefighters (effective January 1, 2017) (Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, 2016). The occupational disease fatality rate in NL increased from 3.5 per 100,000 (2016) to 14.6 (2018) and then declined to 7.3 (2019). An earlier spike in 2011 (to 14.5) appears to follow on the creation of the Baie Verte Miners' Registry, a voluntary exposure registry created to register as many former employees of the Baie Verte asbestos mine/mill as possible and to collect information on work history (including asbestos exposure), medical history, vital status, and current health status (Giles Murphy, Bornstein, Oudyk, Demers, 2021; Arrandale, Bornstein, King, Takaro, Demers, 2016; Bornstein, Demers, Fowler, et al. 2013). Similar increases in the fatality rate may be seen in Saskatchewan in the next few years as the provincial government expanded presumptive coverage for firefighters to include 22 cancers (Saskatchewan WCB, 2024).

4.1 Provincial Work-Related Fatality Rate Graphs

Figure 2: Alberta Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024




Figure 3: British Columbia Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024




Figure 4: Manitoba Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024




Figure 5: New Brunswick Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024




Figure 6: Newfoundland and Labrador Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024




Figure 7: Nova Scotia Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024




Figure 8: Ontario Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024




Figure 9: Quebec Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024





Figure 10: Saskatchewan Work-Related Fatality Rates, 2010-2024



4.2 Provincial Work-Related Injury Rate Graphs

Figure 11: Alberta Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024




Figure 12: British Columbia Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024




Figure 13: Manitoba Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024




Figure 14: New Brunswick Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024




Figure 15: Newfoundland and Labrador Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024




Figure 16: Nova Scotia Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024




Figure 17: Ontario Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024




Figure 18: Quebec Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024





Figure 19: Saskatchewan Work-Related Injury Rate, 2010-2024



5.0 Recommendations

The following recommendations are principally aimed at addressing some of the data limitations identified in Section 2.1 of this report. If implemented, they could strengthen the accuracy, comparability and timely availability of the data for future analyses, as well as foster greater awareness and improved prevention of work-related injuries, diseases, and fatalities in Canada.

1. Release previous year's injury and fatality statistics by March 31

As noted earlier, there is a one-year lag between the end of year recording of injury and fatality claims and the public release of comparable provincial and territorial data by the AWCBC. The AWCBC releases information on 39 variables. We recommend that the WCBs and AWCBC expedite the release of provincial and territorial data for three variables – specifically, accepted lost time claims, non-lost time claims, and fatalities – by March 31 each year. Having this information available in time for the National Day of Mourning in late April will help raise public awareness of work-related injuries and fatalities and facilitate more timely comparisons of injury and fatality rates among jurisdictions.

2. Harmonize data collection and reporting within and across jurisdictions

Efforts should be undertaken to harmonize data collection and reporting amongst the systems responsible for occupational injury/disease prevention and workers' compensation in Canada. A review of the workers' compensation system in Alberta, conducted in 2016-2017, illustrated that there is an appetite for improved collection and sharing of data, both within and across jurisdictions.¹² In its final report, the WCB Review Panel recommended that:

OHS and WCB jointly establish a working group featuring representation from employers, workers, the WCB and OHS, to examine issues and make improvements to the collection and use of data related to workplace injuries and illnesses.

Among its efforts, the working group should develop and implement solutions related to:


- *The use of data for purposes other than those for which it was collected;*
- *The harmonization of data among the WCB, OHS, other entities, and other public agencies, boards and commissions in Alberta;*
- *The timeliness of data that is gathered; and*
- *Addressing privacy implications that might attend the gathering of data regarding workplace injuries and illnesses and the sharing of that data among the WCB, OHS and other entities.*

¹² Working Together. Report and Recommendations of the Alberta Workers' Compensation Board Review Panel (June 2017) is available online at <https://open.alberta.ca/publications/working-together-report-and-recommendations-of-the-wcb-review-panel>.

Recommendation 59

If organizations and agencies responsible for prevention and workers' compensation across Canada came together to harmonize the definitions, the coding, and the categorization of the data they collected, it would not only facilitate more timely interjurisdictional comparisons, but it would also be helpful for identifying opportunities for workplaces to improve – both of which would be particularly advantageous for employers that operate in multiple provinces. Harmonization could be achieved either prospectively (i.e., by jurisdictions agreeing to collect exactly the same information on a go-forward basis) or retrospectively (i.e., by processing of pre-existing data collected by each jurisdiction to make sure that it is compatible). Statistics Canada could take a lead role in harmonizing injury and fatality data in Canada (Grant, 2017a). Australia's approach to reporting current national workers' compensation and OH&S statistics by jurisdiction provides an instructive example and useful model for how such an initiative could be accomplished in Canada (Figure 20).¹³

Figure 20: Australia's Work-Related Injury, Fatality and OH&S Dashboard¹⁴



¹³ See <https://data.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/our-datasets/workers-compensation-data>

¹⁴ See <https://data.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/interactive-data#fatalities>

3. Explore creative solutions to address the problem of under-reporting

Efforts should be undertaken to develop, implement and evaluate methods to ensure that all work-related injuries, diseases, and fatalities recognized by legislation, regulation and/or policy are captured – and appropriately compensated – by workers' compensation systems across the country. Some options that could be considered are:

- Estimating the prevalence of lost time injury (Prism Economics and Analysis, 2013), non-lost time injury, and fatality under-reporting (Koehoorn et al., 2015) in each jurisdiction.
- Deterring employer injury claim suppression via increased penalties and number of penalties for employers found by workers' compensation boards to be suppressing legitimate injury claims (Premji et al., 2025).
- Fostering the linkage of population-based administrative datasets with the workers' compensation administrative datasets (using, for example, British Columbia's repository of linked administrative data, Population Data BC¹⁵, or the Partnership for Work, Health and Safety¹⁶ as a model);
- Creating population-based exposure and/or injury/disease/fatality surveillance systems and linking them with primary prevention activities (Ontario's Occupational Disease Surveillance System¹⁷ provides a model that could be adapted and expanded to other jurisdictions); and,
- Designing tools, resources and awareness campaigns for health care providers to facilitate contact between their patients and the workers' compensation system (like, for example, an initiative that was undertaken in the early 2000s by the BC Cancer Registry to advise physicians that their patients with mesothelioma may be eligible for workers' compensation benefits¹⁸).

In addition, implementation of the recommendations in the 2020 report entitled *Using Scientific Evidence and Principles to Help Determine the Work-Relatedness of Cancer* (Demers, 2020)¹⁹ would not only improve evidence-based decision-making in the adjudication of occupational disease claims, but would also address the occupational disease compensation gap (i.e., the difference between the estimated burden of occupational diseases and the number that are compensated). This gap is primarily due to under-recognition of occupational diseases by primary care physicians and consequent under-reporting of claims to the workers' compensation system (Demers, 2020).

¹⁵ See <https://www.popdata.bc.ca/>.

¹⁶ See <http://pwhs.ubc.ca/>.

¹⁷ The Occupational Disease Surveillance System (ODSS), which was created by the Occupational Cancer Research Centre in 2016, is online at <https://www.occupationalcancer.ca/project/odss/>. The system provides a means to examine disease trends and patterns by disease, exposure, and industry and to detect changes in disease risk over time.

¹⁸ See Hurrell, AC et al. (2013) for a description of this initiative.

¹⁹ The full report can be downloaded here: <https://www.occupationalcancer.ca/resources/scientific-evidence-work-relatedness-cancer-report/>

4. Enhance primary prevention activities

Efforts should be undertaken to enhance primary prevention activities within and across jurisdictions. These efforts could include:

- Targeting high-risk industries and occupations
- Ensuring compliance with existing occupational health and safety regulatory frameworks
- Improving enforcement activities (e.g., wider adoption of financial (administrative) penalties for employer non-compliance)
- Creating multi-pronged primary prevention initiatives that combine consultation, education, and enforcement activities
- Developing public awareness campaigns, partnerships, and community outreach.

6.0 References

- Arrandale V.H., Bornstein S., King A., Takaro T.K., Demers P.A. (2016). Designing exposure registries for improved tracking of occupational exposure and disease. *Canadian Journal of Public Health / Revue Canadienne de Santé Publique*. 107(1):e119-e25.
- Association of Workers' Compensation Boards of Canada (AWCBC). (2025). Key statistical measures. http://awcbc.org/?page_id=9755
- Barnetson, B., Foster, J., & Matsunaga-Turnbull, J. (2018). Estimating under-claiming of compensable workplace injuries in Alberta, Canada. *Canadian Public Policy*, 44(4), 400-410.
- Berriault, C. J., Lightfoot, N. E., Seilkop, S. K., & Conard, B. R. (2017). Injury mortality in a cohort of mining, smelting, and refining workers in Ontario. *Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health*, 72(4), 220-230.
- Bianco, A. D., & Demers, P. A. (2013). Trends in compensation for deaths from occupational cancer in Canada: A descriptive study. *CMAJ Open*, 1(3). doi:10.9778/cmajo.20130015
- Billias, N., MacEachen, E., & Sherifali, S. (2023). "I grabbed my stuff and walked out": Precarious workers' responses and next steps when faced with procedural unfairness during work injury and claims processes. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, 33(1), 160-169.
- Bittle, S., Chen, A., & Hébert, J. (2018). Work-Related Deaths in Canada. *Labour/Le Travail*, 82, 159-187.
- Bornstein S., Demers P., Fowler K. et al. (2013). Registry of the Former Workers of the Baie Verte Asbestos Mine—Final Report. Available at https://www.mun.ca/safetynet/media/production/memorial/administrative/safetynet/media-library/projects/bvmr/BVMR_Final_Report_April_2013.pdf
- CAREX Canada. (2021). Asbestos exposure in Canada. https://www.carexcanada.ca/en/asbestos/occupational_estimate/
- Caxaj, S., Tran, M., Mayell, S., Tew, M., McLaughlin, J., Rawal, S., ... & Cole, D. (2022). Migrant agricultural workers' deaths in Ontario from January 2020 to June 2021: A qualitative descriptive study. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 21(1), 98.
- CCOHS (Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety). Legislation. <https://www.ccohs.ca/topics/legislation>. Date Accessed: April 11, 2025
- Cherry, N., Galarneau, J. M., Haan, M., Haynes, W., & Lippel, K. (2019). Work injuries in internal migrants to Alberta, Canada. Do workers' compensation records

provide an unbiased estimate of risk? *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 62(6), 486-495.

Demers, PA. (2020). Using Scientific Evidence and Principles to Help Determine the Work-Relatedness of Cancer.

<https://www.occupationalcancer.ca/resources/scientific-evidence-work-relatedness-cancer-report/>

Fan J, McLeod CB, Koehoorn M. (2012). Descriptive epidemiology of serious work-related injuries in British Columbia, Canada. *PLoS One*. 7(6):e38750

French, J. (March 8, 2023). Omnibus bill would make it easier for Fort McMurray firefighters to get WCB coverage for cancer. *CBC News Alberta*.

Frew, N. (October 30, 2023). Saskatchewan would lead Canada in firefighter cancer coverage if bill passes. *CBC News Saskatchewan*.

Giles Murphy T, Bornstein S, Oudyk J, Demers PA. (2021). A Quantitative Retrospective Exposure Assessment for Former Chrysotile Asbestos Miners and Millers from Baie Verte, NL, Canada. *Ann Work Expo Health*. 65(1):113-26.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador (2016). Statutes of Newfoundland and Labrador. Chapter 50. An Act to amend the *Workplace Health, Safety And Compensation Act*. Assented to: December 14, 2016. [URL: <https://www.assembly.nl.ca/Legislation/sr/Annualstatutes/2016/1650.chp.htm>. Date accessed: April 22, 2020].

Grant, T. (November 5, 2017a). Statistics Canada looks to close data gap on workplace deaths, injuries. *The Globe and Mail*.

<https://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/national/statistics-canada-to-broaden-data-collection-on-worker-deaths-injuries/article36840619/>

Grant, T. (October 29, 2017b). 'We're not seeing the truth': Inside the hidden dangers of the Canadian workplace. *The Globe and Mail*.

<https://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/national/canadian-workplace-hidden-dangers/article36763608/>

Grant, T. (October 27, 2017c). Canada's deadliest jobs. *The Globe and Mail*.

<https://beta.theglobeandmail.com/news/investigations/fishing-methodology-deadliest-sector-canada/article36725323/>

Hurrell, A.C., Koehoorn, M., McLeod, C.B., Marino, S., Demers, P.A., Lee, C., Pomaki, G., Smok, S. (2013). Seeking Compensation for Mesothelioma: Investigating why Individuals do or do not seek Workers' Compensation Benefits in British Columbia. Report RS2010-IG32. July 2013. Available online at:

<https://www.worksafebc.com/en/resources/about-us/research/seeking->

[compensation-for-mesothelioma-investigating-why-individuals-do-or-do-not-seek-workers-compensation-benefits-in-british-columbia?lang=en](#) .

- Karunanayake, C. P., Koehncke, N., Enebeli, S., Ulmer, K., & Rennie, D. C. (2023). Trends in Work-Related Fatal Farm Injuries, Saskatchewan, Canada: 2005–2019. *Journal of Agromedicine*, 28(3), 444-455.
- Koehoorn, M., Tamburic, L., Xu, F., Alamgir, H., Demers, P. A., & McLeod, C. B. (2015). Characteristics of work-related fatal and hospitalised injuries not captured in workers' compensation data. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 72(6), 413-420.
- Kyung, M., Lee, S. J., Dancu, C., & Hong, O. (2023). Underreporting of workers' injuries or illnesses and contributing factors: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 23(1), 558.
- Lightfoot, N., Manitowabi, D., Arrandale, V., Barnett, N., Wabegijig-Nootchtai, C., Odjig, M. L., ... & Warry, W. (2022). Workers' compensation experience in some Indigenous Northern Ontario communities. *Work*, 73(2), 707-717.
- Macpherson, R. A., Neis, B., & McLeod, C. B. (2022). Differences in workers' compensation claim rates for within-province and out-of-province workers in British Columbia, Canada, 2010–2017. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 65(3), 214-227.
- Mercer, S. (November 8, 2023). Nurses in Alberta push for presumptive coverage for psychological injuries. OHS News. <https://www.thesafetymag.com/ca/topics/psychological-safety/nurses-in-alberta-push-for-presumptive-coverage-for-psychological-injuries/465998>
- Mojtehedzadeh, S. (December 17, 2017). WSIB reverses majority of denied GE Peterborough cancer claims. *Toronto Star*. <https://www.thestar.com/news/gta/2017/12/17/wsib-reverses-majority-of-denied-ge-peterborough-cancer-claims.html>.
- Mojtehedzadeh, S. (December 18, 2016). Lethal legacy. *Toronto Star*. <http://projects.thestar.com/lethal-legacy/>.
- Morassaei, S., Breslin, F. C., Shen, M., & Smith, P. M. (2013). Examining job tenure and lost-time claim rates in Ontario, Canada, over a 10-year period, 1999–2008. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 70, 171-178.
- Nadalin, V., & Smith, P. M. (2020). Examining the impact of occupational health and safety vulnerability on injury claim reporting in three Canadian provinces. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 63(5), 435-441.

- Occupational Cancer Research Centre. (2019). Burden of occupational cancer in Canada: Major workplace carcinogens and prevention of exposure. Toronto, ON. http://www.occupationalcancer.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/OCRC_National-Burden-Report_2019.pdf
- Occupational Cancer Research Centre. (2019). The Occupational Disease Surveillance System. <https://www.occupationalcancer.ca/project/odss/>
- Premji, S., Begum, M., & Laila, K. (2025). Claim Suppression of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses Among Precariously Employed Immigrant Workers in Ontario. *NEW SOLUTIONS: A Journal of Environmental and Occupational Health Policy*, 10482911241312387.
- Premji, S., Begum, M., & Medley, A. (2023). Systemic barriers to reporting work injuries and illnesses in contexts of language barriers. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 66(2), 122-131.
- Prism Economics and Analysis. (2013). Claim suppression in the Manitoba Compensation System: Research report. <https://www.wcb.mb.ca/sites/default/files/Manitoba%20WCB%20Claim%20Suppression%20Report%20-%20Final-1.pdf>
- Prism Economics and Analysis. (2013). Workplace Injury Claim Suppression: Final Report. http://www.ecao.org/uploads/WSIB%20Claim%20Suppression%20Final%20Report_April%202013.pdf
- Rabson, M. (April 5, 2024). Mother of Canadian aid worker rejects Israel's explanation for his death. *The Globe and Mail*.
- Saskatchewan WCB (2024). WCB coverage extended to include 22 types of cancers. <https://www.wcbask.com/news/saskatchewan-firefighters-receive-enhanced-cancer-coverage-0> Date Accessed: April 21, 2025.
- Saunders, R., O'Grady, J., Cardoso, S. (2020). Estimates of the nature and extent of claim suppression in British Columbia's workers' compensation system. <https://www.iwh.on.ca/scientific-reports/estimates-of-nature-and-extent-of-claim-suppression-in-british-columbias-workers-compensation-system>
- Shah, S. M., Hagel, L., Lim, H., Koehncke, N., & Dosman, J. A. (2011). Trends in farm fatalities, Saskatchewan, Canada: 1990-2004. *Canadian Journal of Public Health/Revue Canadienne de Sante'e Publique*, 51-54.
- Sharpe, A. and Hardt, J. (2006). *Five Deaths a Day: Workplace Fatalities in Canada 1993–2005*, CSLS Research Report 2006–04 (Ottawa: Centre for the Study of Living Standards, 2006)

Shannon, H. S., & Lowe, G. S. (2002). How many injured workers do not file claims for workers' compensation benefits? *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 42(6), 467-473.

Thompson, A. (2007). The consequences of underreporting workers' compensation claims. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 176(3), 343-344.

Appendix: AWCBC Explanatory Notes (2010-2024)

Manitoba

Variable	Year	Note
Injury Frequency	2010	The 2010 Annual Report states that the 2010 preliminary time loss injury rate is 3.3%. The Annual Report's approach differs slightly from the AWCBC approach to this statistic.
Injury Frequency	2011	The 2011 Annual Report states that the 2011 preliminary time loss injury rate is 3.3%. The Annual Report's approach differs slightly from the AWCBC approach to this statistic.
Injury Frequency	2012	The 2012 Annual Report states that the 2012 preliminary time loss injury rate is 3.3%. The Annual Report's approach differs slightly from the AWCBC approach to this statistic.
Injury Frequency	2013	The 2012 Annual Report states that the 2012 preliminary time loss injury rate is 3.3%. The Annual Report's approach differs slightly from the AWCBC approach to this statistic. The 2013 Annual Report states that the 2013 preliminary time loss injury rate is 3.2%. The Annual Report's approach differs slightly from the AWCBC approach to this statistic.
Injury Frequency	2014	The 2014 Annual Report states that the 2014 preliminary time loss injury rate is 3.2%. The Annual Report's approach differs slightly from the AWCBC approach to this statistic.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

New Brunswick

Variable	Year	Note
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2010	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,971 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2010.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2011	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,688 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2011.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2012	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,302 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2012.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2013	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,276 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2013.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2014	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,349 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2014.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2015	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,152 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2015.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2017	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,550 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2017.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2018	NB has a 3 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,862 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2018.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2019	NB has a waiting period. The number of LT claims in this measure reflects all LT claims including the waiting period. If the waiting period is excluded, NB accepted 4213 lost time claims only in 2019.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2020	NB has a waiting period. The number of LT claims in this measure reflects all LT claims including the waiting period. The process for calculating lost time claims was adjusted in the 2019 submission.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2021	NB has a waiting period. The number of LT claims in this measure reflects all LT claims including the waiting period. The process for calculating lost time claims was adjusted in the 2019 submission.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2022	NB has a waiting period. The number of LT claims in this measure reflects all LT claims including the waiting period. The process for calculating lost time claims was adjusted in the 2019 submission.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2023	The process for calculating lost time claims was adjusted in the 2019 submission.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

New Brunswick

Variable	Year	Note
Injury Frequency	2010	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,971 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2010.
Injury Frequency	2011	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,688 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2011.
Injury Frequency	2012	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,302 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2012.
Injury Frequency	2013	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,276 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2013.
Injury Frequency	2014	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,349 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2014.
Injury Frequency	2015	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,152 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2015.
Injury Frequency	2016	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,698 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2016.
Injury Frequency	2017	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,550 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2017.
Injury Frequency	2018	NB has a 3-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. NB accepted 5,862 lost-time claims (including day of accident) in total in 2018.
Injury Frequency	2019	The process for calculating lost time claims was adjusted in for the 2019 submission.
Injury Frequency	2021	NB has a waiting period. The number of LT claims in this measure reflects all LT claims including the waiting period. The process for calculating lost time claims was adjusted in the 2019 submission.
Injury Frequency	2022	NB has a waiting period. The number of LT claims in this measure reflects all LT claims including the waiting period. The process for calculating lost time claims was adjusted in the 2019 submission.
Injury Frequency	2023	NB has a waiting period. The number of LT claims in this measure reflects all LT claims including the waiting period. The process for calculating lost time claims was adjusted in the 2019 submission.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

New Brunswick

Variable	Year	Note
Injury Frequency	2024	NB has a waiting period. The number of LT claims in this measure reflects all LT claims including the waiting period. The process for calculating lost time claims was adjusted in the 2019 submission.

Nova Scotia

Variable	Year	Note
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2010	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2010 annual report is 6,921.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2011	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2011 annual report is 6,616.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2012	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2012 annual report is 6,341.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2013	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2013 annual report is 6,034.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2014	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2014 annual report is 5,953.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2015	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2015 annual report is 6,014.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2016	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2016 annual report is 5,847. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2017	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2017 annual report is 5,906. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

Nova Scotia

Variable	Year	Note
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2018	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2018 annual report is 5,819. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2019	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2019 annual report is 5,663. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2020	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2020 annual report is 4,977. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2021	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2021 annual report is 5,391. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2022	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2022 annual report is 5,420. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2023	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2022 annual report is 5,420. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Total Number of Lost-Time Claims	2024	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2024 annual report is 5,260. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Injury Frequency	2010	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2010 annual report is 6,921.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

Nova Scotia

Variable	Year	Note
Injury Frequency	2011	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2011 annual report is 6,616.
Injury Frequency	2012	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2012 annual report is 6,341.
Injury Frequency	2013	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2013 annual report is 6,034.
Injury Frequency	2014	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2014 annual report is 5,953.
Injury Frequency	2015	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2015 annual report is 6,014.
Injury Frequency	2016	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2016 annual report is 5,847. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Injury Frequency	2017	NS has a 2-day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2017 annual report is 5,906. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Injury Frequency	2018	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2018 annual report is 5,819. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Injury Frequency	2019	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2019 annual report is 5,663. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Injury Frequency	2020	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2020 annual report is 4,977. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

Nova Scotia

Variable	Year	Note
Injury Frequency	2021	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2021 annual report is 5,391. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Injury Frequency	2022	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2022 annual report is 5,420. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Injury Frequency	2023	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2022 annual report is 5,420. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.
Injury Frequency	2024	NS has a 2 day waiting period therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for this province. The total number of lost-time claims published in the WCB of Nova Scotia's 2024 annual report is 5,260. This annual report figure does not include permanent disability claims.

Northwest Territories/Nunavut

Variable	Year	Note
Injury Frequency	2010	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2011	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2012	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2013	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2014	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

Northwest Territories/Nunavut

Variable	Year	Note
Injury Frequency	2015	NT/NU allows self-employed individuals with no assessable payroll to opt out of personal coverage, should they so choose.
Injury Frequency	2016	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2017	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2018	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2019	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2020	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2021	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2022	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2023	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Injury Frequency	2024	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

Ontario

Variable	Year	Note
Total Lost Time Claims	2020	Reduction in number of claims due to COVID-19.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Occupational Disease	2015	Prescribed cancer legislation allowing coverage of firefighter presumptive occupational disease claims are included - Cancers in Firefighters and Fire Investigators Legislation (Policy 23-02-01).
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Occupational Disease	2017	Prescribed cancer legislation allowing coverage of firefighter presumptive occupational disease claims are included - Cancers in Firefighters and Fire Investigators Legislation (Policy 23-02-01).
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Occupational Disease	2018	Prescribed cancer legislation allowing coverage of firefighter presumptive occupational disease claims are included - Cancers in Firefighters and Fire Investigators Legislation (Policy 23-02-01).
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Occupational Disease	2019	Prescribed cancer legislation allowing coverage of firefighter presumptive occupational disease claims are included - Cancers in Firefighters and Fire Investigators Legislation (Policy 23-02-01).
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Occupational Disease	2020	Prescribed cancer legislation allowing coverage of firefighter presumptive occupational disease claims are included - Cancers in Firefighters and Fire Investigators Legislation (Policy 23-02-01).
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Occupational Disease	2021	Prescribed cancer legislation allowing coverage of firefighter presumptive occupational disease claims are included - Cancers in Firefighters and Fire Investigators Legislation (Policy 23-02-01).
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Occupational Disease	2022	Prescribed cancer legislation allowing coverage of firefighter presumptive occupational disease claims are included - Cancers in Firefighters and Fire Investigators Legislation (Policy 23-02-01).
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Occupational Disease	2023	Prescribed cancer legislation allowing coverage of firefighter presumptive occupational disease claims are included - Cancers in Firefighters and Fire Investigators Legislation (Policy 23-02-01).
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Occupational Disease	2024	Prescribed cancer legislation allowing coverage of firefighter presumptive occupational disease claims are included - Cancers in Firefighters and Fire Investigators Legislation (Policy 23-02-01).
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2012	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2013	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

Ontario

Variable	Year	Note
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2014	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2015	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2017	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2018	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2019	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2020	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2021	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2022	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2023	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Injury	2024	This KSM will not match By the Numbers (BTN) as the traumatic fatality count in BTN is by year of death, whereas this KSM represents traumatic fatalities by year accepted, regardless of year of death.
Injury Frequency	2010	Ontario Board is no longer publishing harmonized LTI rate.
Injury Frequency	2011	Ontario Board is no longer publishing harmonized LTI rate.
Injury Frequency	2017	As requested from AWCBC starting in 2017 this KSM includes both assessable and self-insured employers.
Injury Frequency	2018	As requested from AWCBC starting in 2017 this KSM includes both assessable and self-insured employers.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

Ontario

Variable	Year	Note
Injury Frequency	2019	As requested from AWCBC starting in 2017 this KSM includes both assessable and self-insured employers.
Injury Frequency	2020	As requested from AWCBC starting in 2017 this KSM includes both assessable and self-insured employers.
Injury Frequency	2021	As requested from AWCBC starting in 2017 this KSM includes both assessable and self-insured employers.
Injury Frequency	2022	As requested from AWCBC starting in 2017 this KSM includes both assessable and self-insured employers.
Injury Frequency	2023	As requested from AWCBC starting in 2017 this KSM includes both assessable and self-insured employers.
Injury Frequency	2024	As requested from AWCBC starting in 2017 this KSM includes both assessable and self-insured employers.
Percentage of Workforce Covered	2011	2011 Labour Force Survey (LFS) estimates are based on 2006 Census population estimates, whereas prior years were based on 2001 Census population estimates.
Percentage of Workforce Covered	2012	2012 and 2011 Labour Force Survey (LFS) estimates are based on 2006 Census population estimates, whereas prior years were based on 2001 Census population estimates.
Percentage of Workforce Covered	2013	2013 Labour Force Survey (LFS) estimates are based on 2006 Census population estimates, whereas years prior to 2011 were based on 2001 Census population estimates.
Percentage of Workforce Covered	2014	2014 Labour Force Survey (LFS) estimates are based on 2006 Census population estimates, whereas years prior to 2011 were based on 2001 Census population estimates.
Percentage of Workforce Covered	2015	2014 Labour Force Survey (LFS) estimates are based on 2006 Census population estimates, whereas years prior to 2011 were based on 2001 Census population estimates.

Prince Edward Island

Variable	Year	Note
Total Number of Loss-Time Claims	2010	As of April 1, 2002, PEI has a waiting period equivalent to 60% of weekly compensation being required before compensation is payable; therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for PEI as of March 31 of the following year.
Total Number of Loss-Time Claims	2011	As of April 1, 2002, PEI has a waiting period equivalent to 60% of weekly compensation being required before compensation is payable; therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for PEI as of March 31 of the following year.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

Prince Edward Island

Variable	Year	Note
Total Number of Loss-Time Claims	2012	As of April 1, 2002, PEI has a waiting period equivalent to 60% of weekly compensation being required before compensation is payable; therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for PEI as of March 31 of the following year.
Total Number of Loss-Time Claims	2013	As of April 1, 2002, PEI has a waiting period equivalent to 60% of weekly compensation being required before compensation is payable; therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for PEI as of March 31 of the following year.
Total Number of Loss-Time Claims	2014	As of January 1, 2014, PEI has a waiting period equivalent to 40% of weekly compensation being required before compensation is payable; therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for PEI as of March 31 of the following year.
Total Number of Loss-Time Claims	2015	Data has not yet been published. It is currently in a pre-approval state.
Number of Fatalities Accepted - Occupational Disease	2015	Data has not yet been published. It is currently in a pre-approval state.
Injury Frequency	2010	As of April 1, 2002, PEI has a waiting period equivalent to 60% of weekly compensation being required before compensation is payable; therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for PEI as of March 31 of the following year.
Injury Frequency	2011	As of April 1, 2002, PEI has a waiting period equivalent to 60% of weekly compensation being required before compensation is payable; therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for PEI as of March 31 of the following year.
Injury Frequency	2012	As of April 1, 2002, PEI has a waiting period equivalent to 60% of weekly compensation being required before compensation is payable; therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for PEI as of March 31 of the following year.
Injury Frequency	2013	As of April 1, 2002, PEI has a waiting period equivalent to 60% of weekly compensation being required before compensation is payable; therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for PEI as of March 31 of the following year.
Injury Frequency	2014	As of January 1, 2014, PEI has a waiting period equivalent to 40% of weekly compensation being required before compensation is payable; therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for PEI as of March 31 of the following year.

2026 Report on Work Fatality and Injury Rates

Prince Edward Island

Variable	Year	Note
Injury Frequency	2015	As of January 1, 2014, PEI has a waiting period equivalent to 40% of weekly compensation being required before compensation is payable; therefore, the number of lost time claims listed in this report may not reflect every lost time injury for PEI as of March 31 of the following year.
Percentage of Workforce Covered	2010	The province of PEI became assessed and as such costs and revenues are now included. Liabilities of the province for past claims have been assumed by the WCB of PEI.
Percentage of Workforce Covered	2011	The province of PEI became assessed and as such costs and revenues are now included. Liabilities of the province for past claims have been assumed by the WCB of PEI.
Percentage of Workforce Covered	2012	The province of PEI became assessed and as such costs and revenues are now included. Liabilities of the province for past claims have been assumed by the WCB of PEI.
Percentage of Workforce Covered	2013	The province of PEI became assessed and as such costs and revenues are now included. Liabilities of the province for past claims have been assumed by the WCB of PEI.
Percentage of Workforce Covered	2014	The province of PEI became assessed and as such costs and revenues are now included. Liabilities of the province for past claims have been assumed by the WCB of PEI.
Percentage of Workforce Covered	2015	The province of PEI became assessed and as such costs and revenues are now included. Liabilities of the province for past claims have been assumed by the WCB of PEI.

Yukon

Variable	Year	Note
Lost Time Injury Frequency	2017	For injury frequency and workforce covered calculations, NT/NU uses SEPH data, which are 3% to 6% lower than labour force data. This methodology results in the injury frequency being overestimated due to the characteristics of the data.
Total Lost Time Claims	2018	Preliminary.